200. Does that mean that periodically, you're

you have to, take the number hypothetically

21

Yes. Historically, broadcast

21

22

of your packages?

Page 1843

networks were free, and over the past number of years, they've now started charging cable operators for the right to retransmit their signals, for the right to distribute their signals.

JUDGE SIPPEL: Are these must-carry stations?

THE WITNESS: No. These are broadcast networks like ABC or CBS, that elect to negotiate, as opposed to the must-carry provision, to force the operator to carry it. The fees are increasing at incredible rates, on an annual basis, sometimes as much as 100 percent.

BY MR. CARROLL:

Q And that's part of the entire cost picture that you have to manage in your current new job at Comcast?

A That's correct. There's a brand new -- well, brand new over the last 24 to 36 months, element of expense in programming, and it's just explosive in its growth. A major

Q Well as a new employee, I take it
you don't want to say anything negative, that
would put you into bad graces in your new job?

A You know, it's important that I do

A You know, it's important that I do my job well.

Q Now you weren't present at Comcast during the May 9th of 2009 proposal that Mr. Solomon made to Mr. Bond, right?

A That is correct.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Q And you weren't present for any part of the consideration of that May 2009 proposal that Mr. Solomon made; correct sir?

A At that time, no. That's correct.

Q And you weren't there for Ms.

Gaiski's telephone calls to the four field -
the four division people in the field;

correct?

A That is correct.

Q And you weren't present for the June conference call that Mr. Bond had with his team with Mr. Solomon, in which he told Tennis Channel that he was rejecting their

the EVP in charge of content acquisition or

distribution -- I'm sorry, I didn't hear it

Well, but when you took the job as

20

21

Page 1849 1 right. In terms of distribution? 2 Sir, at the time my title was SVP 3 of Business Development, and in December of 2006, I assumed responsibilities for content 4 5 acquisition. 6 Q And when you say you assumed 7 responsibilities for content acquisition, that 8 meant deciding which networks were going to 9 get shown and at which tiers; correct? 10 Α That is correct. 11 0 When you went into that position, 12 that's when you inherited basically the 13 responsibilities for Tennis Channel; correct 14 sir? That's correct. 15 Α 16 And at that point in time, to the 17 extent Tennis Channel was being carried, it 18 was being carried already on the sports tier, 19 right sir? 20 That is correct. Α

That decision had been made by

21

22

your predecessor?

Q Now let me ask you to turn, I think I've got it here somewhere, turn to paragraph three on page eight. Excuse me.

A Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Q Now, and under that paragraph, it says that unless it's terminated for another reason, it continues on to be effective until the anniversary; correct sir?

A That's correct.

Q That would be ?

A That's correct.

Q And to your knowledge, it hasn't been terminated or renegotiated, has it?

A No, but it's been an active agreement. I understood when I took over the position of content acquisition that with

respect to the Tennis Channel, we had significant flexibility on where we could carry it, whether or not we carried it at all, with the Tennis Channel, over the course of the following four years.

We had plenty of discussions about whether we should carry them more broadly, whether we should launch them in new markets. So you know, it was an active contract.

Q But that contract's not up for renewal. The same terms are going to apply until ; correct sir?

A Unless they're renegotiated during the term, yes sure.

Q And they didn't renegotiate it during your term, did they sir?

A No, but we did make decisions to do additional things with respect to distribution under my supervision while I was at Charter.

Q And one of the things that's been going on at Charter during the last year or so

Page 1852

is a lot more distribution of the Tennis
Channel, has it not sir?

A I don't know if I would characterize it as a lot more, but we did launch it in several markets.

Q But it's nearly doubled in the last four or five months, hasn't it sir?

A I wouldn't have guess that the numbers are that big, but we did launch it in St. Louis and Los Angeles and other markets.

Q And you were aware that in the last few months, Charter's been rolling Tennis Channel out relatively broadly, is that right sir?

MR. CARROLL: I'll just note one thing. This is the material that they asked me out of his direct, and I just want to caution Mr. Phillips. He's just opened the door, because now that he's asking about these details with Charter, I've got to be able to cross-examine back on this.

MR. PHILLIPS: This is public

Page 1853

information, Your Honor. That's all I was objecting to. And in fact, both the witness and Mr. Carroll went through this stuff, and the witness said that he made these decisions consistent with the things that happened at Charter.

I'll move on. I think I've made my point. But our objection was based on the fact that we were not able to go into the internal deliberations at Charter. I'm only going on the basis of public information.

MR. CARROLL: But what he's asking about are decisions at Charter that if you read the earlier version of this, it's not in the record officially, is exactly what he just asked about with respect to recent launches.

I took it out as a compromise last evening.

MR. PHILLIPS: I don't agree with it, but noting Mr. Carroll's objection, I'll move on, Your Honor.

JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, we've got the Treaty of Paris here.

(Laughter	·)
-----------	----

THE WITNESS: Was there a standing question that you wanted me to talk to? I can explain why those launches took place.

(Simultaneous speaking.)

MR. PHILLIPS: No. I'll keep

going.

JUDGE SIPPEL: No, let him explain. He said he's got more information.

THE WITNESS: Yes. So those launches were done under my supervision and, you know, I think sort of the process that Charter went through to decide to make those launches is instructive in this case, in the sense that it was really a very difficult decision.

It took years for Charter to make the decision, to provide any incremental distribution, in markets like Los Angeles and St. Louis that the Tennis Channel really felt were great markets for tennis fans.

The reason that it was difficult

Page 1855 to make those decisions is that there was no 1 2 real discernible consumer demand. 3 spoke to the field about it, they had no 4 particular passion around doing those 5 launches. It was part of a broader effort to 6 put value into the sports tier, and so that's 7 why we did it. 8 But it was with great ambivalence, 9 because it was unclear that the Tennis Channel 10 would help drive value in the sports tier. 11 MR. PHILLIPS: Your Honor, that's 12 the testimony I am going to object to. 13 That's the testimony that we were striking. 14 That's the reason I withdrew the last 15 question. I don't think it's fair to be going 16 into that. 17 JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, you know, 18 there is a narrow standard when it comes to 19 cross-examination. 20 MR. PHILLIPS: I'll move on, Your 21 Honor. 22 JUDGE SIPPEL: Mr. Carroll's

I was actually

this point, so tell me what to do. Okay.

JUDGE SIPPEL:

21

	Page 1857
1	excited beforehand.
2	MR. CARROLL: So much for the
3	treaty.
4	MR. PHILLIPS: May I approach,
5	Your Honor?
6	JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes, you may. This
7	is just deposition testimony. Well, I'll take
8	a copy, but you should be able to just read it
9	to him and
10	MR. PHILLIPS: This what I
11	thought. Mr. Carroll wanted me to show it to
12	him, and I'm happy to do that as well.
13	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, Mr. Carroll,
14	you can
15	(Simultaneous speaking.)
16	THE WITNESS: I've got it. I
17	appreciate it.
18	MR. PHILLIPS: You've got it,
19	Mike?
20	MR. CARROLL: I do, thank you.
21	JUDGE SIPPEL: So we don't even
22	have to mark this as an exhibit, unless

Page 1858 something happens, you know. 1 BY MR. PHILLIPS: 2 3 Just let me find my page again. 0 Page 33, line 14. 4 5 Α Page 33. JUDGE SIPPEL: What page are we 6 7 on? 8 MR. PHILLIPS: 33, line 14. I'd 9 just like to read that question and answer. 10 Now if I can read it for you, Mr. Rigdon. 11 question was, let's see. Are you with me? 12 JUDGE SIPPEL: Is that where 33 13 is? 14 THE WITNESS: Okay. 15 BY MR. PHILLIPS: 16 And my colleague asked "Why does 17 Charter carry Tennis Channel," and you asked 18 "Charter. I can't speak to why Charter 19 launched it. But under my tenure, I thought 20 Tennis Channel, within the sports tier, was a 21 valuable component of the sports tier." Do 22 you see that?

Page 1859 1 Α I do. 2 That was accurate at the time you 3 gave it, sir? 4 Α What I was referring to is the 5 decision that you referenced earlier in 2005, 6 not the decisions that I was just talking 7 about. 8 Q Sir, when --9 JUDGE SIPPEL: Answer his 10 question. He's asking you at the time that 11 you gave that answer, was that an accurate 12 answer? 13 THE WITNESS: Yes, with respect to 14 their launches in 2005, that's an accurate 15 answer. 16 JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, is that part 17 of the question? Do we have go back way 18 before that to find that out? 19 THE WITNESS: I'm just clarifying. 20 I can't speak to why Charter launched it. I'm

just referenced, that are happening right now.

referring to 2005, not the launches that were

21

Q Your Honor, the next question and answer on the same page makes that explicitly clear. "And when you were -- you don't know, Mr. Rigdon, whether Charter had the rights to carry Tennis Channel more broadly than its sports tier, did you?"

A During the deposition, I didn't recall it. I've since had a chance to refresh my recollections.

Q And the deposition took place when you'd been at Comcast, what, about a month or a little over?

A That sounds accurate.

Q And you'd recently left Charter; correct?

A Correct.

Q And when you were at Charter, you didn't know whether Charter had -- and during the deposition, you didn't know whether Charter had any distribution obligations or commitments to Tennis Channel under its

	Page 1861
1	Charter agreement, did you sir?
2	A Yes. During the depositions, I
3	didn't recall.
4	Q You didn't know what Charters pay
5	per subscriber to Tennis Channel at the
6	deposition, did you sir?
7	A Yes. I'm generally more focused
8	not on per unit fees, but on what annual
9	expenses are.
10	Q And you didn't even know the range
11	of numbers that Charter paid Tennis Channel at
12	your deposition, did you sir?
13	A Yes. I was reluctant to give
14	inaccurate information during the deposition.
15	Q Well, you didn't even know whether
16	the amount paid was 15 cents, 20 cents or
17	more. You had no sense of it is what you
18	said, isn't that right, sir?
19	A Again, I don't think on a per sub
20	rate basis I think in terms of annual

rate basis. I think in terms of annual expenses.

21

22

Q And you didn't know by the end of

Charter, whether it was 100,000, 200,000,

	Page 1863
1	300,000, 400,000, isn't that right?
2	A I think I clarified, later on in
3	the deposition, that you know, a range of how
4	many subscribers it would have had.
5	Q You said it had to be less than
6	, but you didn't know anywhere between
7	there, sir?
8	A No. I think I clarified beyond
9	that.
10	Q Well, if I may
11	A I did the math in my head and
12	figured out how many sports tier subscribers
13	there were, and that helped me zero in on it.
14	Q Now again, Mr. Rigdon, let me just
15	ask you a few more questions about Charter on
16	public information. Just a couple. When you
17	were at Charter
18	JUDGE SIPPEL: Are you off the
19	deposition now?
20	MR. PHILLIPS: I'm off the
21	deposition for the moment, Your Honor.

Then let me just

JUDGE SIPPEL:

ask one thing. Mr. Carroll, you said there was something on the next page that cleared up that 2005 reference?

MR. CARROLL: Yes, Your Honor. If you look at the very next question and answer, after what Mr. Phillips asked, page 33, line 20, the very next question was "And in what way and what sense?" If you read the witness' answer, I won't characterize it. I'll just call that to your attention, over the timing issue.

JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, why don't you just read the answer into the record?

MR. CARROLL: Sure. The question was "And in what way and what sense?" The answer the witness gave at line 22 "You need to make sure that you have enough product in the sports tier for it to be compelling, and since the Tennis Channel had been launched prior to my arrival, and it was in the sports tier, it was something that I thought was important to keep there, to keep that as a